UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,203	06/28/2001	Ichiro Tomohiro	299002053200	7078
25226 MORRISON &	7590 02/12/2007 P FOFRSTER LLP		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD			CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/894,203	TOMOHIRO, ICHIRO	TOMOHIRO, ICHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit			
David G. Cervetti	2136			

	David G. Cervetti	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment of the proposed</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will below or appended.	II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		DGC	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Vicard teaches storing a signature of a correct chip-key and a decryption key, sicne the input is received in an encrypted form (col. 3, lines 40-50), decrypting the input to produce a first value, and applying a hash function to this value to produce a second value (col. 4, lines 43-61 and claims 1-6), Vicard further teaches using 2 or more chip-keys (col. 6, lines 20-36).

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2/8/07